AMENDATORY AGREEMENT

THIS AMENDATORY AGREEMENT is made and entered into between the **CITY AND COUNTY OF DENVER**, a municipal corporation of the State of Colorado (the "City"), and **HUMPHRIES POLI ARCHITECTS, P.C.**, (the "Design Consultant"), a Colorado corporation, whose address is 2100 Downing Street, Denver, Colorado 80205.

RECITALS

- 1. The City and the Design Consultant previously entered into a Design Services Agreement dated June 30, 2014 for full architectural, and engineering professional services in support of the McNichols Building Redevelopment (the "Agreement").
- **2.** The City and the Design Consultant wish to amend the Agreement to add to the scope of work and increase the total compensation.
- **NOW, THEREFORE**, in consideration of the premises and the mutual covenants and obligations herein set forth, the parties agree as follows:
- 1. All references to "...Exhibit A..." in the Agreement shall be amended to read: "...Exhibits A and A-1..." as applicable. The scope of work marked as Exhibit A-1 attached to this Amendatory Agreement is hereby incorporated by reference.
- **2.** Section 3.01 of the Agreement, entitled "<u>Fee for basic services</u>" is hereby amended to read in its entirety as follows:
 - "3.01 Fee for basic services. The City agrees to pay the Design Consultant, as full compensation for its basic services rendered hereunder, a fee not to exceed FIVE HUNDRED FORTY EIGHT THOUSAND EIGHT HUNDRED NINETY FIVE DOLLARS AND ZERO CENTS (\$548,895.00) in accordance with the billing rates and project budget stated in Exhibits A and A-1. The amounts budgeted for phases may be increased or decreased, and the amounts allocated for services and expenses adjusted, upon written approval of the Manger or his designee, and subject to the Maximum Contract Amount stated in this Section 3."
- **3.** Section 3.02 of the Agreement, entitled "**Reimbursable Expenses**" is hereby amended to read in its entirety as follows:
 - "3.02 Reimbursable Expenses Except for those reimbursable expenses specifically identified in Exhibits A and A-1 or approved in writing by the City as reasonably related to or necessary for the Design Consultant's services, all other expenses shall be included in the Design Consultant's fee and will not be reimbursed hereunder. The maximum amount to be paid for all reimbursable expenses under this Agreement is Ten Thousand Five Hundred Dollars and Zero Cents (\$10,500.00) unless an additional amount is approved by the Manager or his designee in writing, subject to the Maximum Contract Amount stated herein. Unless this Agreement is amended in writing according to its terms

to increase the Maximum Contract Amount, any increase in the maximum amount of reimbursable expenses will reduce the Design Consultant's maximum fee amount accordingly."

4. Section 3.05(a) of the Agreement, entitled "<u>Maximum Contract Amount</u>", is hereby deleted in its entirety and replaced with:

"3.05 Maximum Contract Amount.

- (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of the Agreement, the City's maximum payment obligation will not exceed **FIVE HUNDRED EIGHTY FOUR THOUSAND THREE HUNDRED NINETY FIVE DOLLARS AND ZERO CENTS** (\$584,395.00) (the "Maximum Contract Amount"). The City is not obligated to execute an Agreement or any amendments for any further services, including any services performed by Design Consultant beyond that specifically described in **Exhibits A** and **A-1**. Any services performed beyond those set forth therein are performed at Design Consultant's risk and without authorization under the Agreement."
- **5.** Except as amended herein, the Agreement is affirmed and ratified in each and every particular.

REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Contract Control Number:	
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties h Denver, Colorado as of	ave set their hands and affixed their seals at
SEAL	CITY AND COUNTY OF DENVER
ATTEST:	By
APPROVED AS TO FORM:	REGISTERED AND COUNTERSIGNED
By	By
	By



Contract Control Number: PWADM-201415818-01 HUMPHRIES POLI ARCHITECTS PC **Contractor Name:** ATTEST: [if required] Name: FICHARD C GETZ (please print)

Title: BUSINESS UANAGEN (please print)

EXHIBIT A-1



23 February 2015

Mr. Patrick Riley, Project Manager Department of Public Works 201 W Colfax Ave., Dept. 506 Denver, Co 80202

RE: McNichols Building Redevelopment Phase 2

City and County of Denver

Project Control No.: PWADM-201415818-00

Additional Services Proposal: Re-Programming/Additional Outreach and

Schematic Design Revised

Change in Accessibility Requirements

Dear Mr. Riley:

Humphries Poli Architects, P.C. (Consultant) is delighted to offer the following proposal to the City and County of Denver (Client) to provide Additional Services for professional design services associated with the Re-programming/Additional Outreach and Schematic Design Revised as required to comply with a change in direction from the City and County of Denver concerning the accessibility requirements for the McNichols Building Redevelopment Phase 2.

Scope of Services

Near the completion of the Consultant's work associated with the Programming/Outreach and Schematic Design phases of the McNichols Building Redevelopment Phase 2 the Client determined the accessibility requirements associated with the re-construction of the Historic Grand Stair were in need of interpretation by the City Attorney's Office for compliance with the American with Disabilities Act (ADA) and other related accessibility requirements. The resulting interpretation from the City Attorney was that a ramp to the main level of the building would be required in parallel to the reconstruction of the Historic Grand Stair. The previous approach was that a ramp to the lower level would be in compliance with applicable accessibility requirements. It was subsequently determined the inclusion of a ramp to the upper level would have a detrimental impact to the historic fabric and quality of the Denver Landmark. At the point of this revised direction, the Consultant was 95% complete with the Programming/Outreach phase and 85% complete with the Schematic Design phase.

The Consultant and Client both determined design would need to be significantly modified to be compliant with both the accessibility requirements and with appropriate preservation guidelines. This required the Consultant to revise the design and provide the professional services associated with Programming/Outreach and Schematic Design from the beginning. The time and expense associated with the previous design efforts were only partially of use to the revised process.

In addition, the scope of the project increased slightly as we are now including the relocation of the restrooms on the second floor as part of the work. We understand the Client has additional funding added to the project budget to address the construction costs associated with this modification.

We are prepared to begin work immediately upon your notice to proceed. We anticipate the scope of work for this Additional Services to be completed in a timely manner with the objective the schedule delay associated with this revised design work will result in the project being completed nearly consistent with the original project goals.

Services Not Included

Design Services beyond those noted above.

Estimated Fees for Professional Services

Compensation for professional services has been estimated to be a fee of \$ 95,661.00. The estimated fee proposal is allocated in the following fashion:

Re-programming/Additional Outreach	\$ 38,980.00
Schematic Design Revised	\$ 87,441.00
Design Development Revision	(\$ 10,860.00)
Construction Document Revision	(\$ 20,900.00)
Subtotal	\$ 93,841.00

Reimbursable Expenses \$ 1,000.00 Total Additional Service \$ 95,661.00

Please refer to the attached spreadsheet for an allocation of the hours per division of labor and consultant for this work. Please note the spreadsheet illustrates a reduced fee for one of our consultants, Studio NYL, as a result in a reduced scope of their work as a result of this Additional Services proposal.

Agreement

We understand the terms of this agreement are per the agreement between the City and County of Denver and Humphries Poli Architects dated 30 June 2014. We are most appreciative of this opportunity to provide continuing services to the City and County of Denver and look forward to the completion of a highly successful project. Please do not hesitate to contact me directly with any questions.

Sincerely

Humphries Poli Architects, P.C.

Dennis R. Humphries AIA

Principal

McNichols Building - Phase II Add Service for Re-Programming/Additional Outreach and Schematic Design Revised related to Accessibility Requirements Estimated Fees & Schedule for Professional Services / REVISION 20 February 2014

Tatal	100 100	4000/	•	ı
Construction Administration Assistance	\$0	0%	32	
Plan Review / Permits	\$0	0%	4	
Construction Documents	-\$20,900	-22%	10	
Design Development	-\$10,860	-11%	6	
Schematic Design Revised	\$87,441	92%	4	
Project Re- Programming / Additional Outreach	\$38,980	41%	4	
Phase	Not-to-Exceed Fee		Estimated Time Period (weeks)	

Grand Total \$94,66°

Margine Marg	Firm	Hourly Rate	Project	t Programming / Outr	treach	Schematic Design			Design Development			Construction Documents			Plan Review / Permits			Constructio	n Administration	Sub-Total		
Part		ridio		Weeks/ Phase	Fee			Fee			Fee			Fee			Fee			Fee	Hours	Fee
Processor 1986 18	Humphries Poli Architects (Architectural)		Week			Week	Phase		Week	Phase		Week	Phase		Week	Phase		Week	Phase			
Proceduration 1985	Principal			4					0	6		0			0	4	\$0	(
Change 15												0			0	4		(
Substitute Sub										6		0			0	4						
March Marc	Clerical	\$50				4		\$800		6		0		\$0	0	4		(\$0		\$1,300
Second Control (64.5			144			0			0			0			١ ')			\$84,780 100.0%
Process 1986 2																						
Series 1985 2		6100	-		61 440	2		61 440	2		\$2.160		10	64 000	- 0		*0		22	60		£1 090
Part		\$135	2	4		2									0	4	\$0		32	\$0		
March Marc	Junior Engineer					2	4					-8			0	4		(-\$10,260
Process		\$85				6	4			ь		-19			0	4				\$0 \$0	0	-\$5,440 -\$25,960
Princed Short Shor																	0.0%					
Princed Short Shor	LICE Francisco (Ciril & Chrystern)																					
Section Sect	Principal	\$140	0	4	\$0	6	4	\$3,360	0	6	\$0	0	10	\$0	0	4	\$0		32	\$0		\$3,360
Function	Project Designer	\$85	0	4	\$0	10	4	\$3,400	0	6	\$0	0		\$0	0	4	\$0		32	\$0		\$3,400
H.C. Expressing (Survey) 1515 0			0	1		16			0			0			0			()			\$6,760 100.0%
Survey S					0.070			100.078			0.070			0.070			0.070			0.076		100.076
Policy 150	HCL Engineering (Survey)																					
Sub-color Sub-col Su			0	4		_						0			0	4						\$0
Principal Prin	Survey Crew		0	4	\$0	ő	4	\$0	Ö	6	\$0	ő	10	\$0	ő	4	\$0		32	\$0		\$0
Phil Design (Landscape) Philosophy Side 4 \$3,000 12 4 \$7,500 0 6 50 0 10 50 0 4 50 0 32 50 \$30,000 \$10			0	1	\$0	0			0			0			0)			\$1
Principal \$150								100.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%		100.0%
Sub-total Street	DHM Design (Landscape)	2100										_			_							
Sub-state 12 \$5.44d 36 \$14.880 0 \$6 0 \$6 0 \$6 0 \$6 0 \$70 \$10.00% \$100.00%			6	4						6		0			0	4						
Corey Engineer (LeCrical) Series Figures (LACC) \$110	Sub-total	\$10	12	-	\$5,640			\$14,880	0		\$0	0		\$0	0		\$0			\$0		\$20,520
Serior Engineer (DA/OC)	% of Fee				27.5%			72.5%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%		100.0%
Sub-total SSS																						
Sub-total SSS			0	4								0			0	4		(\$0
Administration System Sy												0			0	4			32	\$0 \$0		\$0 \$0
CE Group (Mechanical / Plumbing)	Administration		0	4		0	4		0	6		0			0	4		(\$0		\$0
CE Group (Mechanical / Plumbing)			0	1		0			0			0			0)			\$0 #DIV(0)
Principal S165 2 4 \$1,320 1 4 \$560 0 6 \$0 0 10 \$0 0 4 \$0 0 32 \$0 \$1,980 Prioset Manager \$135 0 4 \$0 0 3 4 \$1,620 0 6 \$0 0 10 \$0 0 4 \$0 0 32 \$0 \$1,620 Sr. Engineer/Designer \$130 0 4 \$0 0 4 \$0 0 4 \$2,080 0 6 \$0 0 10 \$0 0 4 \$0 0 32 \$0 \$3,620 Sr. Engineer/Designer \$130 0 4 \$0 0 4 \$0 0 6 \$0 0 10 \$0 0 4 \$0 0 32 \$0 \$3,620 Sr. Engineer/Designer \$130 0 4 \$0 0 4 \$0 0 6 \$0 0 10 \$0 0 4 \$0 0 32 \$0 \$3,620 Sr. Engineer/Designer \$130 0 4 \$0 0 4 \$0 0 6 \$0 0 10 \$0 0 4 \$0 0 32 \$0 \$3,620 Sr. Engineer/Designer \$1,000 0 4 \$0 0 10 \$0 0 4 \$0 0 32 \$0 \$3,620 Sr. Engineer/Designer \$1,000 0 4 \$0 0 0 4 \$0 0 0 10 \$0 0 4 \$0 0 32 \$0 \$3,620 Sr. Engineer/Designer \$1,000 0 4 \$0 0 0 4 \$0 0 0 10 \$0 0 4 \$0 0 32 \$0 \$3,600 Sr. Engineer/Designer \$1,000 0 4 \$0 0 0 4 \$0 0 0 10 \$0 0 4 \$0 0 32 \$0 \$0 \$3,000 Sr. Engineer/Designer \$1,000 0 4 \$0 0 0 \$0 0 10 \$0 0 4 \$0 0 32 \$0 \$0 \$3,000 Sr. Engineer/Designer \$1,000 0 4 \$0 0 0 \$0 0 \$0 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	% OF Fee				#DIV/0:			#DIV/0:			#DIV/0:			#DIV/0:			#DIV/0:			#DIV/0:		#DIV/0:
Priocet Manager \$135 0 4 \$0 3 4 \$1,620 0 6 \$0 0 10 \$0 0 4 \$0 0 32 \$0 \$1,620 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0																						
Sr. Engineer/Designer \$130 0 4 \$0 4 4 \$2,080 0 6 \$0 0 10 \$0 0 4 \$0 0 32 \$0 \$2,280 Priget Engineer \$150			2	4		1						0			0	4		9				
Specification Specificatio		\$130				4		\$2,080				0			· ·	4			32	\$0		\$2,080
CADBIM Administration Sub-total Sub-						6						0			0	4		(
Administration 565 0 4 50 0 4 50 0 50 0 10 50 0 4 50 0 32 50 \$5.00 \$ 5.0						0	-		_	-		0				4		(32	\$0		\$0 \$600
Note 15.4% 84.6% 0.0%	Administration		Ō	4	\$0	0	4	\$0		Ī	\$0	0	10	\$0		4	\$0	(32	\$0		\$0
Delet (specifications) Specification Writer Sp5 0 4 \$0 0 4 \$0 0 6 \$0 0 10 \$0 0 4 \$0 0 \$2 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0			2			16			0			0			0			()			
Specification Writer Sp5 0 4 \$0 0 4 \$0 0 6 \$0 0 10 \$0 0 4 \$0 0 32 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	% of Fee				13.476			04.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%			0.0%		100.0%
Yeh (Geotech) Geotechnical Engineer \$115 0 4 \$0 0 6 \$0 0 10 \$0 0 4 \$0 <td>Delet (specifications)</td> <td></td>	Delet (specifications)																					
Yeh (Geotech) Geotechnical Engineer \$115 0 4 \$0 0 6 \$0 0 10 \$0 0 4 \$0 <td>Specification Writer</td> <td>\$95</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>6</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td>(</td> <td>32</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$0</td>	Specification Writer	\$95				0	4			6						4		(32			\$0
Geotechnical Engineer	Sub-total		١ '	'	\$0			\$0	U		\$0	0		\$0	0		\$0			\$0		\$0
ABG (Cost Estimating) Estimator \$110 0 4 \$0 0 4 \$0 0 6 \$0 0 10 \$0 0 4 \$0 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	Yeh (Geotech)																					
ABG (Cost Estimating) Estimator \$110 0 4 \$0 0 4 \$0 0 6 \$0 0 10 \$0 0 4 \$0 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$		\$115								6						4						\$0
Esimator \$110 0 4 \$0 0 4 \$0 0 6 \$0 0 10 \$0 0 4 \$0 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	Sub-total		٥	'	\$0	0		\$0	0		\$0	0		\$0	0		\$0	· '	,	\$0		\$0
Esimator \$110 0 4 \$0 0 4 \$0 0 6 \$0 0 10 \$0 0 4 \$0 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	ABG (Cost Estimating)																					
		\$110	0	4	\$0	0	4	\$0	0	6	\$0	0	10	\$0	0	4	\$0	(32	\$0		\$0
TOTAL \$38,980 \$87,441 -\$10,860 -\$20,900 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$94,661			0		\$0	0		\$0	0		\$0	0		\$0	0		\$0)	\$0		\$0
	TOTAL				\$38,980			\$87,441			-\$10,860			-\$20,900			\$0			\$0		\$94,661

