## **ORDINANCE/RESOLUTION REQUEST**

Please email requests to the Mayor's Legislative Team

at MileHighOrdinance@DenverGov.org by 3:00pm on Monday. For any questions please contact Skye Stuart.

# \*All fields must be completed.\*

Incomplete request forms will be returned to sender which may cause a delay in processing.

Date of Request: 12/19/18

Please mark one: X Bill Request or Resolution Request

### 1. Has your agency submitted this request in the last 12 months?

Yes X No

### If yes, please explain:

2. Title: (Include a concise, one sentence <u>description</u> – please include <u>name of company or contractor</u> and <u>contract control number</u> - that clearly indicates the type of request: grant acceptance, contract execution, contract amendment, municipal code change, supplemental request, etc.)

Official Map Amendment request to rezone property located at 1080 and 1090 King Street from E-SU-D1x to E-RH-2.5.

# 3. Requesting Agency: Community Planning and Development

- 4. Contact Person: (With actual knowledge of proposed ordinance/resolution.)
  - Name: Theresa Lucero
  - Phone: 720-865-2933
  - Email: Theresa.Lucero@denvergov.org
- 5. Contact Person: (With actual knowledge of proposed ordinance/resolution who will present the item at Mayor-Council and who will be available for first and second reading, if necessary.)
  - Name: Theresa Lucero
  - **Phone:** 720-865-2933
  - Email: Theresa.Lucero@denvergov.org

### 6. General description/background of proposed ordinance including contract scope of work if applicable:

Proposed rezoning of property located at 1080 and 1090 King Street from E-SU-D1x to E-RH-2.5.

**\*\*Please complete the following fields:** (Incomplete fields may result in a delay in processing. If a field is not applicable, please enter N/A for that field – please do not leave blank.)

- a. Contract Control Number: N/A
- b. Contract Term: N/A
- c. Location: 1080 and 1090 King Street
- d. Affected Council District: Council District #3
- e. Benefits: N/A
- f. Contract Amount (indicate amended amount and new contract total): N/A
- 7. Is there any controversy surrounding this ordinance? (Groups or individuals who may have concerns about it?) Please explain.

To date, there are 9 comment letters, 7 in favor and 2 opposed (including the RNO). During the Planning Board public hearing there was public testimony from 5 speakers, 4 opposed and 1 in favor. The Planning Board recommended approval by a unanimous vote of 8-0.