1	<u>BY AUTHORITY</u>			
2	2 ORDINANCE NO	COUNCIL BILL NO		
3	3 SERIES OF 2015	COMMITTEE OF REFERENCE:		
4	4			
5	5 <u>A BILL</u>			
6 7 8 9	For an ordinance amending Section 10.5-53, D.R.M.C. to require consultation with the city council in regard to the enforcement of certain provisions of the city's cable television franchises			
11	BE IT ENACTED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF DENVER:			
12	12			
13	Section 1. That subsection 10.5-53 (a) and paragraph 10.5-53 (b)(4), D.R.M.C. shall be			
14	amended by deleting the language stricken and adding the language underlined, to read as follow			
15	Sec. 10.5-53. Duties and responsibilities.			
16	(a) The technology services agency shall be responsible for the administration and			
17	regulation of any franchise granted to a cable operator within the city.			
18	(b) The duties and responsibilities of the chief information officer or the CIO's designee			
19	shall include, but not be limited, to the following:			
20	20 (4) Monitors In consultation with the city council, mon	itors the cable operators'		
21	performance and adherence to the terms of the franchise, including, but not limited to,			
22		construction schedule, installation policy, rates, operational standards, services provided		
23	pursuant to the franchise, maintenance, technical standards, system design, use of public			
24	ways, service continuity, prohibitions against discrimination in the delivery of cable services,			
25	requirements for delivery of cable services to low-incompared to the services to low-incompared to low	me neighborhoods, and the provision		
26	of support and facilities for public, educational and gov	rernmental access programming.		
7	27			
	28 COMMITTEE APPROVAL DATE:, 2015.			
	29 MAYOR-COUNCIL DATE:, 2015.			
30		2015		
		ESIDENT		
22		VOP 2015		

1 2 3 4	ATTEST:	EX-OFFICIO CLERK OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF DENVER		
5	NOTICE PUBLISHED IN THE DAILY JOURNAL	2015;	2015	
6				
7	PREPARED BY: David W. Broadwell, Asst. City A	Attorney; DATE: March 5, 2015		
8				
9 10 11 12 13	City Attorney. We find no irregularity as to form, and have no legal objection to the proposed ordinance. The proposed ordinance is not submitted to the City Council for approval pursuant to § 3.2.6 of the Charter.			
14	D. Scott Martinez			
15	City Attorney			
16				
17	BY:,City Attorn	ney		
18	DATE:			
19				
20				
21				