BILL/ RESOLUTION REQUEST

- 1. Title: Approves a second amendatory agreement between Avrio Group Surveillance Solutions, LLC and the City and County of Denver for expansion of the Denver Police Department's HALO network and an increase of \$152,376.75 in funding (GE82165-2).
- 2. Requesting Agency: Public Safety
- 3. Contact Person with actual knowledge of proposed ordinance

Name:Melvin Thompson Phone:720-913-6445

Email: Melvin. Thompson@denvergov.org

4. Contact Person with actual knowledge of proposed ordinance who will present the item at Mayor Council and who will be available for first and second reading, if necessary

Name:Lt. Ernie Martinez Phone:720-913-6856

Email: Ernest. Martinez@denvergov.org

- 5. Describe the proposed ordinance, including what the proposed ordinance is intended to accomplish, who's involved
 - a. Scope of Work

Contract amendment would allow for expansion of the Denver Police Department's High Activity Location Observation (HALO) network

- Define and fund the installation of ten additional cameras
- o Two additional cameras will be placed along the Colfax Corridor established in Phase III of the HALO project
 - o Eight cameras will be installed into the Sun Valley/FasTracks area
- Contractor will provide installation labor and engineering expertise to deploy the network expansion
- Cost includes one-year maintenance on each project which begins at the completion of installation
- Project will be funded by a private grant from the Colfax Business Improvement District; and, by funding allocated from the Neighborhood Bond Public Infrastructure TOD – Decatur project
- b. Duration

01/31/2009-03/31/2012

c. Location

Sun Valley and Colfax Corridor

d. Affected Council District

District 9; District 10

e. Benefits

- Increase coverage of networked video cameras to deter crime and enhance public safety through faster response to incidents
- Enhance existing collaboration with RTD, Colfax Business Improvement District, and Sun Valley neighborhood

f. Costs

Amendment cost = \$152,376.75 bringing the cumulative contract amount to \$1,176,769.75

6. Is there any controversy surrounding this ordinance, groups or individuals who may have concerns about it? Please explain. $_{No.}^{\rm No}$

Bill Request Number: BR11-0082 Date: 1/28/2011