BILL/ RESOLUTION REQUEST

- **1. Title:** Amends the Technical Services Agreement with Deighton Associates Limited to extend term of agreement for an additional year and increase maximum expenditure amount from \$803,402 to \$1,064,929, by adding \$261,527 for Pavement/Asset Management consulting services for street, alley and bridge network (CE01113-03).
- 2. Requesting Agency: Public Works
- 3. Contact Person with actual knowledge of proposed ordinance Name:Jo Ann Phillips Phone:720-913-8524 Email:joann.phillips@denvergov.org

4. Contact Person with actual knowledge of proposed ordinance who will present the item at Mayor Council and who will be available for first and second reading, if necessary

Name:Pat Kennedy Phone:303-446-3535 Email:William.Kennedy@denvergov.org

5. Describe the proposed ordinance, including what the proposed ordinance is intended to accomplish, who's involved

a. Scope of Work

Amendment to existing Technical Services Agreement including Pavement/Asset Management consulting services for street, alley and bridge network.

- **b.** Duration
 - 12/14/10--12/31/14
- c. Location citywide
 - citywide
- d. Affected Council District citywide
- e. Benefits

A pilot project that will provide Street Maintenance a functional and integrated maintenance management solution using the Major Machine Patching, Pothole Patching, and Paving Work Programs as test cases on which to build out the full maintenance management solution for the Division. In addition, this scope includes running the 2013 analysis and generating the 6 year plan, conversion and upgrade of Denver Street Maintenance's existing condition data collection application to the dTIMS Inspections Module. In addition the proposal includes data collection of all arterial and collector roadways in Denver; prepares the data collection file and runs the analysis and prepares a report of the final results; and consists of software, support and maintenance.

f. Costs

Amend contract to extend term of agreement for an additional year and increase maximum expenditure amount from \$803,402 to \$1,064,929, by adding \$261,527. (31025/5011102/ PZ04111_448)

6. Is there any controversy surrounding this ordinance, groups or individuals who may have concerns about it? Please explain. $_{\rm No.}$

Bill Request Number: BR13-0552

Date: 8/7/2013