ORDINANCE/RESOLUTION REQUEST

Please email requests to Nancy Kuhn at

Nancy.kuhn@denvergov.org by NOON on Monday.

All fields must be completed.

Incomplete request forms will be returned to sender which may cause a delay in processing.

						Date of Request: Ma	y 5, 2014
Please mark one:		🔀 Bill Request	or	Resol	ution Request		
1.	Has your agency submitted this request in the last 12 months?						
	Yes	🛛 No					
	If yes, please explain:						
2.	 Title: (Include a concise, one sentence description – please include <u>name of company or contractor</u> and <u>contract control number</u> - that clearly indicates the type of request: grant acceptance, contract execution, amendment, municipal code change, supplemental request, etc.) Approval of amendatory agreement CE91238-2 with Huitt-Zollars, Inc. 						
3.	Requesting Agency: Public Works						
4.	 Contact Person: (With actual knowledge of proposed ordinance/resolution.) Name: Elizabeth Zollo Phone: 720-913-1774 Email: <u>elizabeth.zollo@denvergov.org</u> 						
5.	Contact Person: (With actual knowledge of proposed ordinance/resolution who will present the item at Mayor-Council and who will be available for first and second reading, if necessary.) Name: John LaSala Phone: 720-913-4534						

• Email: john.lasala@denvergov.org

6. General description of proposed ordinance including contract scope of work if applicable:

Approval of amendatory agreement CE91238-2 with Huitt-Zollars, Inc. to provide construction related services during construction in 2014, to account for changes in scope including design work on 4th Ave., and add services to design median enhancements on University Boulevard.

****Please complete the following fields:** (Incomplete fields may result in a delay in processing. If a field is not applicable, please enter N/A for that field.)

- a. Contract Control Number: CE91238-2
- **b. Duration:** 3/23/10-12/31/15
- **c.** Location: 4th Ave., University Blvd.
- d. Affected Council District: 10
- e. Benefits: Continuation of services.
- f. Costs: Amendment to add \$219,014 for a revised maximum contract amount of \$1,095,023.36.
- 7. Is there any controversy surrounding this ordinance? (Groups or individuals who may have concerns about it?) Please explain.

No controversy known.