BILL/ RESOLUTION REQUEST

- **1. Title:** Approves an amendment to the contract with goRed, LLC to increase the on-call ceiling amount by \$250,000 for a total amount of \$2,000,000 to continue work until a new on-call contract for multiple contractors is approved (OC93013).
- 2. Requesting Agency: Technology Services
- 3. Contact Person with actual knowledge of proposed ordinance Name:John Cook Phone:303-513-7046 Email:john.cook@denvergov.org

4. Contact Person with actual knowledge of proposed ordinance who will present the item at Mayor Council and who will be available for first and second reading, if necessary

Name:John Cook Phone:303-513-7046 Email:john.cook@denvergov.org

5. Describe the proposed ordinance, including what the proposed ordinance is intended to accomplish, who's involved

a. Scope of Work

The original on-call ceiling amount was \$1,050,000. The 1st amendment was for \$450,000 and a total of \$1,500,000. The 2nd amendment was for \$250,000 and a total of \$1,750,000. The 2nd amendment extended the end date to December 31, 2011. This amendment (3rd) will increase the ceiling by \$250,000. That makes the new total amount \$2,000,000.

goRed provided Project Management for the following projects over the last year: ZOS Migration, TCS Automation. RMS Implementation, ePerformance, GIS Infrastructure, Excise and Licensing, Fleet Automation, Customer Experience, PCI Implementation, PeopleSoft Upgrades, and Document Management. It is anticipated that goRed will continue to work on Customer Experience, PCI Implementation, ePerformance, GIS Infrastructure, 1099, P2P Phase II, Alfresco Link for PeopleSoft, Payroll to GL, Electronic Actions, and Multi-jurisdictional Assessor and Treasurer System. goRed provides such services as Project Manager, Developer, Tester, Architect, and Business Analysts.

b. Duration

01-01-2009 to 12-31-2011

c. Location

N/A

- d. Affected Council District
 - N/A
- e. Benefits Project Management will continue for the described systems.
- f. Costs \$250,000
- 6. Is there any controversy surrounding this ordinance, groups or individuals who may have concerns about it? Please explain. $_{\rm N0.}$

Bill Request Number: BR11-0098

Date: 2/4/2011