

Enforcement Priorities Policy Comparisons

July 2017

More than 650 local governments have policies or practices limiting their role in immigration enforcement. This table represents a sample of jurisdictions who have taken legislative or executive action (EO) on components similar to those being discussed in Denver.

Policy Component	U.S. Jurisdiction
No detainer <i>holds</i> without a judicial warrant	Pitkin County, CO Philadelphia, PA (EO) King County, WA Boston, MA Bernalillo County, New Mexico (EO) Baltimore, MD (EO) Los Angeles, CA (EO) Cook County, IL Lehigh, PA Chicago, IL New York City, NY San Juan County, WA Milwaukee County, WI Middlesex County, NJ
No detainer <i>notifications</i> without a judicial warrant (policies vary from no exceptions to named exceptions for certain offenses)	Pitkin County, CO Philadelphia, PA (EO) Cook County, IL Santa Clara County, CA Fulton County, CA Chicago, IL Nashville, TN
Limitation on asking for or collecting info on national origin or immigration status	Pitkin County, CO City of Boulder, CO (Through policy Boulder County has stopped accepting SCAPP money to avoid inquiring about status) Nashville, TN Los Angeles, CA (EO) Culver City, CA New Orleans, LA Dare County, WI Amherst, MA San Francisco, CA
Limitation on using city resources or personnel (including police) for civil immigration enforcement	Pitkin County, CO City of Boulder, CO Nashville, TN Santa Clara County, CA New Orleans, LA Cook County, IL Los Angeles, CA (EO) State of Alaska Washington D.C. San Francisco, CA Amherst, MA Fulton County, CA Chicago, IL