## BILL/ RESOLUTION REQUEST

1. Title: Amendment to the RMES Communications, Inc. pay telephone agreement.
2. Requesting Agency: DIA
3. Contact Person with actual knowledge of proposed ordinance
Name:Leah Older
Phone:(303) 342-2534
Email:leah.older@flydenver.com
4. Contact Person with actual knowledge of proposed ordinance who will present the item at Mayor Council and who will be available for first and second reading, if necessary

Name:Brian Elms
Phone:303-342-2278
Email:brian.elms@flydenver.com
5. Describe the proposed ordinance, including what the proposed ordinance is intended to accomplish, who's involved
a. Scope of Work

The Amendment is to extend the term and reduce the MAG compensation of the pay telephone contract. The Amendment reduces the required number of pay telephones to 150 and the number of prepaid calling card machines to 10. Additionally, the Amendment removed selected pay telephone and credit card machines from the center cores and sub-cores of Concourses A, B, and C.

1. Extend term. Termination date changed from June 30, 2008 to January 31, 2013.
2. Reduce MAG from $\$ 174,375$ to $\$ 60,000$ beginning January $\mathbf{1 ,} 2010$.
3. Changes specifications on Exhibit D and D-1.
4. Removal of selected pay telephone and credit card machines from the center cores and sub-cores of Concourses A, B, and C.
5. Updated Exhibit A.
6. Reduce required number of payphone from 200 to 150 and prepaid calling card machines from 17 to 10 .
7. ACBD goal of $\mathbf{3 6 \%}$ added.

## b. Duration

Current Contract Term Added Time
New Ending Date
9/6/05-6/30/10 7/1/10-1/31/13 January 31, 2013
c. Location

DIA
d. Affected Council District

Hancock
e. Benefits

1) Provides pay telephones for the traveling public. 2) Amendment reduces the number of pay telephone locations, which allow these location to be used for the RMU program.
f. Costs

Current Contract Amount Additional Funds Total Contract Amount
(A)
(B)
( $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$ )
$\$ 708,746.00 \quad$ - $\$ 57,187($ Covers $1 / 1 / 10-6 / 30 / 10) ~ \$ 651,559$
6. Is there any controversy surrounding this ordinance, groups or individuals who may have concerns about it? Please explain. No

