# 2950 Tennyson & 4421 W. 29th

Request: From R-5 and B-2 to CMP-EI2

Date: 10.08.2024

Presenter: Tony Lechuga



# Presentation Agenda

- Request
- Location and Context
- Process
- Review Criteria







#### Request: from R-5 and B-2 to CMP-EI2



- Property:
  - 4.34 acres
  - Surface parking lot
  - Tennyson Center for Children; includes multiple buildings up to 54 feet in height

Reminder: Approval of a rezoning is not approval of a proposed specific development project



# Presentation Agenda


- Request
- Location and Context
- Process
- Review Criteria








#### Council District 1 - Councilmember Sandoval






# Statistical Neighborhood - West Highland





#### Existing Zoning – R-5 and B-2




#### Proximity to:

- U-SU-C1
- U-SU-A1
- U-MX-2



### Existing Zoning – R-5 and B-2





Protected Districts - 175' Buffer



### Proposed Zoning – CMP-EI2

**Intent:** Intended for educational institutions. CMP-EI2 intended for smaller-to medium-scale campus sites generally adjacent to single-unit, two-unit,

town house, or row house residential districts.







# Existing Context – Mixed-use and Parking



#### Adjacent to:

- Single-unit Residential
- Two-unit Residential
- Multi-unit Residential
- Commercial/Retail



# Agenda

- Request
- Location and Context
- Process
- Review Criteria





#### Process

- Informational Notice: 06/11/24
- Planning Board Notice: 09/03/24
- Planning Board Public Hearing: 09/18/24
- LUTI Committee: 10/08/24
- City Council Public Hearing: 11/18/24



#### **Public Comments**

- RNOs
  - As of this presentation, we have received no letters from RNOs.
- General Public
  - As of this presentation, we have received two letters of opposition.



# Planning Board

- Planning Board held a hearing on this item on 9/18
- The board voted unanimously to recommend approval
- Deliberation included:
  - 1. Appropriate application of a zone district.
  - 2. Public comments related to state licensing, not zoning.



# Presentation Agenda

- Request
- Location and Context
- Process
- Review Criteria





- 1. Consistency with Adopted Plans
- 2. Uniformity of District Regulations
- 3. Further Public Health, Safety and Welfare
- 4. Justifying Circumstances
- 5. Consistency with Neighborhood Context, Zone District Purpose and Intent



- 1. Consistency with Adopted Plans
  - Comprehensive Plan 2040
  - Blueprint Denver (2019)
- 2. Uniformity of District Regulations
- 3. Further Public Health, Safety and Welfare
- 4. Justifying Circumstances
- 5. Consistency with Neighborhood Context, Zone District Purpose and Intent



#### Comprehensive Plan 2040

- Equitable, Affordable and Inclusive Goal 1, Strategy C Improve equitable access to resources that improve quality of life, including cultural and natural amenities, health care, education, parks, recreation, nutritious food, and the arts (p. 28)
- Equitable, Affordable and Inclusive Goal 9, Strategy B –
   Improve the quality, availability, and affordability of early childhood care, education, and child development services (p. 30)







#### 1. Consistency with Adopted Plans

- Comprehensive Plan 2040
- Blueprint Denver (2019)
- 2. Uniformity of District Regulations
- 3. Further Public Health, Safety and Welfare
- 4. Justifying Circumstances
- 5. Consistency with Neighborhood Context, Zone District Purpose and Intent



#### Blueprint Denver


# **Blueprint Denver Future Neighborhood** Contexts **URBAN** Proposed Zone Amendment



#### Urban

- Mix of uses, good street activation and connectivity
- Neighborhood
   context may be
   interpreted with
   limited flexibility if
   the request further
   the goals of
   Blueprint

#### Blueprint Denver



#### Local Center

- Dining, entertainment, and shopping
- Some residential and employment


#### Low Residential

- Predominantly singleand two-unit res.
- Civic and institutional uses are compatibly integrated

Evaluation is based on the proposed zone district is consistent with the Future Street Classification, not to assess the traffic impacts of a specific development proposal.



#### Blueprint Denver



20% of new housing10% of new employment



- 1. Consistency with Adopted Plans
- 2. Uniformity of District Regulations
- 3. Further Public Health, Safety and Welfare
- 4. Justifying Circumstances
- 5. Consistency with Neighborhood Context, Zone District Purpose and Intent



- 1. Consistency with Adopted Plans
- 2. Uniformity of District Regulations
- 3. Further Public Health, Safety and Welfare
- 4. Justifying Circumstances
  - Retained FC 59 zoning
- 5. Consistency with Neighborhood Context, Zone District Purpose and Intent



- 1. Consistency with Adopted Plans
- 2. Uniformity of District Regulations
- 3. Further Public Health, Safety and Welfare
- 4. Justifying Circumstances
- 5. Consistency with Neighborhood Context, Zone District Purpose and Intent



#### **CPD** Recommendation

- CPD recommends approval based on finding all review criteria have been met
- 1. Consistency with Adopted Plans
- 2. Uniformity of District Regulations
- 3. Further Public Health, Safety and Welfare
- 4. Justifying Circumstances
- 5. Consistency with Neighborhood Context, Zone District Purpose and Intent

