## ORDINANCE/RESOLUTION REQUEST

Please email requests to the Mayor's Legislative Team

at MileHighOrdinance@DenverGov.org by 9 a.m. Friday. Contact the Mayor's Legislative team with questions

Please mark one:   Bill Request or	Date of Request: 08/07/2025  Resolution Request
Trease mark one. Din Request of	Kesolution Request
	ments, projects, contracts, resolutions, or bills that involve property m Denver's northern to southern boundary? (Check map <u>HERE</u> )
☐ Yes ⊠ No	
1. Type of Request:	
☐ Contract/Grant Agreement ☐ Intergovernmenta	al Agreement (IGA)   Rezoning/Text Amendment
☐ Dedication/Vacation ☐ Appropriation/Sup	pplemental DRMC Change
Other:	
acceptance, contract execution, contract amendment, m	lude <u>name of company or contractor</u> and indicate the type of request: grant nunicipal code change, supplemental request, etc.)  D-C, UO-1 to D-TD, UO-1, located at 800 15 <sup>th</sup> Street, in Council District
3. Requesting Agency: Community Planning and Devel	opment
ov requesting rigorey.	opmon
4. Contact Person:	
Contact person with knowledge of proposed	Contact person for council members or mayor-council
ordinance/resolution (e.g., subject matter expert)  Name: Matthew Bossler	Name: Matthew Bossler
Email: matthew.bossler@denvergov.org	Email: matthew.bossler@denvergov.org
Linan. matthew.bossier@denvergov.org	Linan. matthew.bossici@denvergov.org
(who, what, why)	quest. Attach executive summary if more space needed: res of property located at 800 15 <sup>th</sup> Street from D-C, UO-1 to D-TD, UO-1 in
Application was noticed on 6/13/25, the property was legal noticed on 7/22/25, and the Planning Board Public Hea	ly posted from 7/22/25 to 8/6/25, Planning Board public hearing was aring occurred on 8/6/25.
should or should not include consideration of the antici sharing requirement. The chair stated an opinion that the consideration of economic impacts, and that staff's assembler's goal for building brand identity to influence eco members expressed that while they did not feel that the rezoning, there was nothing in the related plans that wo	ntial impacts and questioned whether the staff report and presentation ipated public benefits resulting from the off-premise advertising revenue-he work of long-range planning and Planning Board review does include lociating the expansion of the Theatre District with the Downtown Area onomic success was appropriate. In general, the supporting Planning Board related plans contained specifics that would certainly support the bould lead them to recommend denial. One supporting Planning Board Theatre District to those of Seoul, Tokyo, and Times Square, to justify their
	at signs with ads detract from streetscape quality, and that the current of the Theatre District does not extend to the site. Another dissenting
To be complete	d by Mayor's Legislative Team:
Resolution/Bill Number:	Date Entered:

Res	solution/Bill Number: Date Entered:
	To be completed by Mayor's Legislative Team:
8.	**For all contracts, fill out and submit accompanying Key Contract Terms worksheet**
	•
7.	City Council District: District 10
6.	City Attorney assigned to this request (if applicable):
_	
	applicant also reached out to several Councilmembers.
	in their application. Representatives from the ownership group, Denver Theatre District, Inc., and the Upper Downtown Neighborhood Association appeared at the Planning Board hearing in support. In addition, prior to submitting the application, the
No	comments were received by staff. The applicant included a letter of support from the Upper Downtown Neighborhood Association
	Denver Theatre District, Inc. overstated the potential benefits. The final vote was 7 (aye) / 2 (nay).

member Planning Board member stated that the presentation of plan consistency and public benefit by staff and remarks by