



**DENVER**  
THE MILE HIGH CITY

## **Accela Contract Amendment**

Frank Daidone

December 11, 2013



**DENVER**  
THE MILE HIGH CITY

# Agenda

- » Contract Amendment Request
- » Expenditures to Date
- » Evolution of Permitting and Licensing
- » High Level Timeline
- » Question and Answer

# Contract Amendment Request

To provide a \$2 million amendment to the current Accela contract:

- \$1 million implementation services
- \$1 million maintenance and support for 3 years
- Contract extends through 2016
- Fully funded through iFund

# Expenditures to Date

- \$1.2 million professional services
- \$900,000 software licences
- \$400,000 maintenance and support

Total = \$2.5 million

## What this has provided :

- A site license that allows for unrestricted users and expansion without additional cost
- Over 6,500 hours of business process and systems analysis, project and data base design, software implementation and configuration work
- Denver with access to every enhancement, performance improvement, and fault correction since procurement

# Evolution of Permitting and Licensing



CityView	PILAR	Accela
<b>Drawbacks:</b>	<b>Benefits:</b>	<b>Benefits:</b>
Outdated technology not scalable to enterprise	Increased stability and performance over CityView with updated architecture	Best in class enterprise system with 22 agencies participating
Performance bottlenecks. Not setup for concurrent sessions so the more users, the slower the application	Provided a web interface for users	Used across the country by cities/counties like New York, San Francisco, and Salt Lake
Each workstation had to be configured to work with CityView	<b>Drawbacks:</b>	Replaces two legacy applications, one for CPD and one for E&L
Separate addressing system not interfaced to the Denver Address Database	Does not match updated business processes increase task completion with redundant tasks.	Modern architecture and high availability
High maintenance and expensive licensing	The scope did not include all city licensing/permitting agencies. (Excise & License was excluded from PILAR thus fragmenting city services)	Scalable and configuration based model.
No mobile device support	Does not interface accurately with our new Cashiering/Accounting system. (The collection of fees is inconsistent.)	Seamless integration with Enterprise Cashiering
No public/business community interface	No mobile device support	Public / business community self-service access
Limited ability for user maintenance without support from TS	No public/business community interface	Mobile capability
Doesn't run on new/modern browsers	Limited ability for user maintenance without support from TS	Roles based model to allow the agency to run the business with minimum overhead.
Outages were commonplace	Doesn't run on new/modern browsers	

# High Level Timeline

- Excise and License, Contractor Licensing, Right of Way Valet, Occupancy, Special, Parking Permits, Hang tags, and Meter bags  
June 2013
- All remaining permits types December 2013
- Citizen Access and Mobility 2015

# Question and Answer

- Questions?