1	BY AU	THORITY		
2	ORDINANCE NO	COUNCIL BILL NO. CB14		
3	SERIES OF 2014	COMMITTEE OF REFERENCE:		
4		Government & Finance		
5	<u>A</u>	BILL		
6 7	For an ordinance discontinuing the use of the pilot alternative property tax valuation protest and appeal procedure established in HB13-1113.			
8	WHEREAS, HB13-1113 established a pilot alternative property tax valuation protest and			
9	appeal procedure that combined the annual valuation dispute process into a single hearing and			
10	extended the deadline for filing protests;			
11	WHEREAS, the Council for the City and C	County of Denver elected to use the pilot alternative		
12	property tax valuation protest and appeal procedu	ure established in HB13-1113.		
13	WHEREAS, the City and County of Denv	er used the pilot alternative property tax valuation		
14	protest and appeal procedure in calendar years 2	013 and 2014;		
15	WHEREAS, the use of the pilot altern	ative property tax valuation protest and appea		
16	procedure has not produced the efficiencies that	were anticipated;		
17	WHEREAS, the Denver Assessor has red	quested that the Council for the City and County o		
18	Denver elect to discontinue the use of the pilot a	Iternative property tax valuation protest and appea		
19	procedure established in HB13-1113			
20	NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ENACTED	BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY AND COUNTY		
21	OF DENVER:			
22	Section 1. The City and County of De	enver shall discontinue using the pilot alternative		
23	property tax valuation protest and appeal proce	dure established in HB13-1113 for all property tax		
24	protests and appeals filed after November 15, 20	14.		
25				

1	COMMITTEE CONSENT APPROVAL DATE:					
2	MAYOR-COUNCIL DATE:					
3	PASSED BY THE COUNCIL:			, 2014		
4		PRESIDENT				
5	APPROVED:	MAYOR		, 2014		
6 7 8	ATTEST:	EX-OFFICIO	RECORDER, CLERK OF THE DUNTY OF DEN\	/ER		
9	NOTICE PUBLISHED IN THE DAILY JOURNAL: _	, 2	2014;	, 2014		
10	PREPARED BY: Charles T. Solomon, Assistant Ci	ty Attorney	Date:	, 2014		
11 12 13 14	Pursuant to section 13-12, D.R.M.C., this proposed ordinance has been reviewed by the office of the City Attorney. We find no irregularity as to form, and have no legal objection to the proposed ordinance. The proposed ordinance is not submitted to the City Council for approval pursuant to § 3.2.6 of the Charter.					
15	D. Scott Martinez, City Attorney					
16	BY:, Assistant City Attor	ney Date:		, 2014		