

**ORDINANCE/RESOLUTION REQUEST**

Please email requests to the Mayor’s Legislative Team

at [MileHighOrdinance@DenverGov.org](mailto:MileHighOrdinance@DenverGov.org) by **11 a.m. Monday**. Contact the Mayor’s Legislative team with questions

Date of Request: **03/21/2024**

Please mark one:  **Bill Request** or  **Resolution Request**

**1. Type of Request:**

- Contract/Grant Agreement**     **Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)**     **Rezoning/Text Amendment**
- Dedication/Vacation**             **Appropriation/Supplemental**             **DRMC Change**
- Other:**

**2. Title:** Approves a map amendment to rezone 4343 North Utica and associate properties from R-2 and U-TU-C to U-TU-C and PUD-G 33, located in the Berkeley Statistical Neighborhood in Council District 1.

**3. Requesting Agency:** Community Planning and Development

**4. Contact Person:**

Contact person with knowledge of proposed ordinance/resolution	Contact person to present item at Mayor-Council and Council
Name: Tony Lechuga	Name: Tony Lechuga
Email: Anthony.Lechuga@denvergov.org	Email: Anthony.Lechuga@denvergov.org

**5. General description or background of proposed request. Attach executive summary if more space needed:**

Official Map Amendment to rezone approximately 3.2 of property located in the Berkeley Statistical Neighborhood from R-2 and U-TU-C to U-TU-C and PUD-G 33.

1. Notice of receipt of the application was sent on January 22, 2024.
2. Notice for the Planning Board Public Hearing was sent on March 5, 2024.
3. Planning Board voted 10-0 to recommend approval the application on March 20, 2024. There were three public speakers. Two speakers spoke in support of the application noting the importance of Arrupe Jesuit High School within the community. One speaker spoke in opposition of the application noting their desire for higher density on the site, a neighborhood plan, and that many of the signatories in support of the rezoning don’t live in the neighborhood.
4. To date we have received 1 letter of support from the Berkeley Regis United Neighbors RNO. They noted that the applicant met with them multiple times throughout the process and incorporated their feedback into their application.
5. To date we have received a letter of support from the Arrupe and Holy Family Church communities that contains 309 signatures. We have received 11 letters of support from students of Arrupe. We have received 4 letters of support from neighbors and businesses in the area that note the positive role Arrupe and Holy Family play in the community.
6. To date we have received 3 letters of opposition. One focused solely on the impact growth will have to on-street parking. The other two letters noted their desire for higher density zoning and the lack of a neighborhood plan.
7. Some comment letters seemed confused about the rezoning process as they critiqued CPD for having a lack of engagement with the neighborhood during the application process. However, it is the applicant’s responsibility to engage with the RNOs and residents. The applicant demonstrated that they did significant community engagement throughout the process.

**6. City Attorney assigned to this request (if applicable):**

**7. City Council District:** Council District 1

*To be completed by Mayor’s Legislative Team:*

Resolution/Bill Number: \_\_\_\_\_

Date Entered: \_\_\_\_\_

8. **\*\*For all contracts, fill out and submit accompanying Key Contract Terms worksheet\*\***

### Key Contract Terms

Type of Contract: (e.g. Professional Services > \$500K; IGA/Grant Agreement, Sale or Lease of Real Property):

Vendor/Contractor Name:

Contract control number:

Location:

Is this a new contract?  Yes  No Is this an Amendment?  Yes  No If yes, how many? \_\_\_\_\_

Contract Term/Duration (for amended contracts, include existing term dates and amended dates):

Contract Amount (indicate existing amount, amended amount and new contract total):

<i>Current Contract Amount (A)</i>	<i>Additional Funds (B)</i>	<i>Total Contract Amount (A+B)</i>

  

<i>Current Contract Term</i>	<i>Added Time</i>	<i>New Ending Date</i>

Scope of work:

Was this contractor selected by competitive process?

If not, why not?

Has this contractor provided these services to the City before?  Yes  No

Source of funds:

Is this contract subject to:  W/MBE  DBE  SBE  XO101  ACDBE  N/A

WBE/MBE/DBE commitments (construction, design, Airport concession contracts):

Who are the subcontractors to this contract?

---

*To be completed by Mayor's Legislative Team:*

Resolution/Bill Number: \_\_\_\_\_

Date Entered: \_\_\_\_\_