## 1 BY AUTHORITY COUNCIL BILL NO. 12-0044 2 ORDINANCE NO. SERIES OF 2012 COMMITTEE OF REFERENCE: 3 4 Health, Safety, Education & Services A BILL 5 6 7 For an ordinance amending Subsection 54-822 of the Denver Revised Municipal Code, to provide for a forty dollar penalty for certain violations of Section 54-101 of the Denver 8 9 Revised Municipal Code, detected by the use of an automated vehicle identification 10 system. 11 BE IT ENACTED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF DENVER: 12 13 **Section 1.** That subsection 54-822 (f), D.R.M.C. shall be amended by adding the language 14 15 underlined, to read as follows: 16 17 Sec. 54-822. - Exceptions to penalty assessment procedure. 18 (f) Any traffic infraction involving disobedience to a traffic control signal, section 54-19 101 or section 54-102, detected by the use of an automated vehicle identification 20 system shall be subject to a penalty of seventy-five dollars (\$75.00) upon entry of 21 22 judgment against the defendant; provided, however, any such traffic infraction 23 committed when the driver stopped before entering the intersection in obedience to a 24 steady red circular signal alone, but failed to do so at a clearly marked stop line or, if 25 none, before entering the cross walk on the near side of the intersection as required 26 by section 54-101 (3) shall be subject to a penalty of forty dollars (\$40.00) upon entry 27 of judgment against the defendant. All other traffic infractions detected by the use of an automated vehicle identification system shall be subject to a penalty of forty 28 29 dollars (\$40.00) upon entry of judgment against the defendant.

30

1			
2	COMMITTEE APPROVAL DATE:	, 2011.	
3	MAYOR-COUNCIL DATE:	, 2011.	
4	PASSED BY THE COUNCIL		2011
5		PRESIDENT	
6	APPROVED:		_ 2011
7 8 9 10	ATTEST:	CLERK AND RECORDER, EX-OFFICIO CLERK OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF DENVER	
11	NOTICE PUBLISHED IN THE DAILY JOURNAL _	2011;	2011
12			
13	PREPARED BY: David W. Broadwell, City Attor	ney; DATE: January 3, 2012	
14			
15 16 17 18 19	Pursuant to section 13-12, D.R.M.C., this proposed ordinance has been reviewed by the office of the City Attorney. We find no irregularity as to form, and have no legal objection to the proposed ordinance. The proposed ordinance <b>is not</b> submitted to the City Council for approval pursuant to 3.2.6 of the Charter.		
20			
21	David W. Broadwell		
22	City Attorney		
23			
24	BY:,City Attorney		
25	DATE:		
26			
27			
28	SPONSORED BY: Councilmember Robb		
29			