50K 1150000 1150 1250 12500 12	
TABI AND C	TABLE LISTING APPLICANT OR STAFF POSITIONS AND O'CONNOR RESPONSES RE 99 QUEBEC STREET
APPLICANT OR STAFF STATEMENT	RESPONSE
HEIGHT	
"Same Maximum Building Height, 65 feet, or 4-5 stories, as with the rest of Lowry." Boulevard One	65 feet is <u>not</u> the maximum height on "the rest of Lowry." Height of residential multi-unit in original Design Guidelines is limited to 45 feet, except in Town Center area where it can be 60
pamphlet provided to Planning Board.	feet. The Town Center height exception was not explained by Councilwoman Susman or clarified by Monty Force at Council's NAP meeting, and the assumption that the 18 acres should mirror the COPIC height was left with Council.
	Aerial of town center on same page of pamphlet, as opposed to "the rest of Lowry," is misleading as well.
PLANNING PROCESS	
Plan mirrors adjacent land uses. LRA brochure states that: "It has mixed use on the east side, across from the Lowry Town Center and office Park."	The east side of Quebec has R-1 and R-2-A residential development from Alameda Ave. north to Quebec. Only the extreme NE corner of the parcel is near the Office Park. See attached Exhibit. Only about 10% of the perimeter of this parcel is adjacent to B-3 zone district.
LRA Glossy says "The mixed-use area contemplates neighborhood —scale retail, based on market studies conducted for the Buckley Annex Redevelopment	The colorful page entitled "Redesign Your Life" gives no inkling whatsoever that Urban Center Mixed Use Five Story zoning will be in place on 18 acres plus another 8 acres. I guess "puffing" is allowed, but no challenges to "Cherry Creek" zoning and building forms.
Plan.	
"Because the proposed C-MX-5 with waivers zone area is the mixed-use heart of Boulevard One, it was	Unsupportable statement. See O'Connor letter submitted 6.25.2015 for more detail. Zoning was never "discussed." It was announced as a package after CPD/LRA meetings that were out
considered and discussed at most of these meetings." (exhibit F Application)	
	Executive Director of LRA admits no plan for more than 3 or 4 five-story buildings; therefore C-MX-5 not appropriate choice.
CONSISTENCY WITH DENVER ADOPTED PLANS	
The following adopted plans apply to this property: • Denver Comprehensive Plan 2000 • Lowry Reuse Plan (1993, re-adopted 2000) • Blueprint Denver (2002) •	The General Development Plan does not constituted an Adopted Plan, was never presented to or voted upon by City Council, does not mandate approval of the proposed rezoning.
Buckley Annex General Development Plan (2013)	None of the planning for Boulevard One (Reuse Plan, 2008 Buckley Annex Redevelopment Plan, or General Development Plan) mandates this zone choice.

Staff Report page 13: The accompanying design guidelines developed by the Lowry Redevelopment Authority provides special attention to design, specifically by providing a	Lowry is an Area of Change under Blueprint and suitable for such an intense zone district
Rezoning for "areas of change" must still meet DZC criteria; it must still be <u>compatible with</u> <u>surrounding neighborhoods</u> .	This parcel is an Area of Change in Blueprint Denver.
Blueprint Denver requires that all future development in Denver be linked to transportation. That link is missing here.	The proposed rezoning is consistent with Blueprint Denver.
area, 8) Planning Area 4, the SW Quadrant, included DFAS, and envisioned DFAS remaining but the entire planning area to be filled with residential which would also "buffer existing neighborhoods from non-residential uses in the Business & Training Center" to the NE of this area.	
incorporating a variety of uses, and enhancing the surrounding neighborhoods;" (4) making density comparable to adjacent residential areas; (5) emphasis on including parkways with medians (i.e. Lowry Blvd.) and other streetscape elements to provide linkages between the new development and surrounding neighborhoods (6) the desire to "minimize negative impacts on surrounding neighborhoods;" (7) the plan should build upon, sustain and enhance the existing assets of the surrounding	
development with appropriately-scaled supporting uses;" (2) intent to build residential areas around perimeter of base in Planning Areas 4 and 6 (3) "opportunity to create a highly liveable [sic] and desirable environment at Lowry by protecting mountain views and limiting heights, placing utilities underground, saving and adding indigenous trees, cleaning up environmental hazards (including asbestos),	
While the Lowry Reuse Plan <u>is</u> dated, it is the only Small Area Plan in effect, and offers general considerable guidance, including: (1) goal of "development of true neighborhoods, which are intended to include residential	Since Lowry Reuse Plan (Adopted Plan 1993 and 2000) did not envision a change in use at Buckley Annex, it offers no guidance.
issues" The City itself understands the problem involved in reliance on a GDP to determine zoning, as it has been examining this issue.	
As Applicant notes, the City "may" take the GDP into consideration. The GDP is a 13 page infrastructure document and, as Applicant notes, "establishes a framework for future land use and development and resulting public infrastructure" and an "opportunity to identify	The proposed rezoning is consistent with the General Development Plan (2013).

Staff Report: "The proposed rezoning is consistent with Comprehensive Plan 2000 Environmental Sustainability and Neighborhoods objectives and strategies because the mixed use zone district allows infill development to occur where services and infrastructure is already in place to serve the new development."	Portions of Boulevard One have already been rezoned for mixed use, thus constituting additional "changed conditions" for this rezoning. C-MX-5 will encourage changing travel behavior Staff Report: "the mixture of land uses will conserve land and preserve air quality by allowing new residents to live near shopping, jobs, recreation and schools where driving can be replaced by walking or bicycling."	OTHER CRITERIA
Staff Report includes statement from Public Works that Wastewater approved the rezoning as follows: "Approved, There is no objection to the rezone; however applicant should be under notice that Public Works will not approve any development of this property without assurance that there is sufficient sanitary and storm sewer capacity as outlined in the LRA master plans. A sanitary study and drainage study may be required. These studies may results in a requirement for the developer to install major infrastructure improvements or a limit to development if current infrastructure is insufficient. Approval of this rezone on behalf of Wastewater does not state, or imply, public storm/sanitary infrastructure can, or cannot, support the proposed zoning."	The only portions of Boulevard One that have been rezoned were rezoned for attached & detached housing. These additional "changed conditions" require additional attention to compatibility with surrounding neighborhoods and the constraints of infrastructure. 92% of Lowry residents seldom use busses because, as the surveys show, you simply can't get to the places you need to get to (schools, doctors, work) without use of the automobile. Response: Much of pollution is caused by people looking for parking; http://www.streetline.com/downloads/Smart-City-Whitepaper.pdf No one, including LRA Board members, believes this project will significantly reduce automobile usage.	building height transitions to adjacent established low intensity Areas of Stability from the subject property. The proposed waivers provide this height transition and provide for a compatible infill plan that responds to adjacent neighborhoods. In this report, staff recognizes on p. 13 what residents have long maintained – that areas of Lowry in which they have been living for 18 years constitute an Area of Stability that new development must be compatible with these areas. This includes the areas south, east and north of the site proposed for rezoning.

Staff Report page 14: "The proposed rezoning	Response: As stated several times, the GDP is not adopted by City Council It was approved by
furthers the public health, safety, and general welfare of the City primarily through implementation of the City's adopted land use plans including the Buckley Annex General Development Plan."	the DRC but never brought to Council and is not binding on this Council. It can be considered advisory, as can the 2008 Plan which has been removed from the record of LRA, but the only Plans Adopted by Council with respect to this property are the Lowry Reuse Plan, Blueprint and the Comp Plan.
Parking issues will be resolved by the Lowry Design Review Committee	Planning Board recognized that a zone district like this is actually more appropriate where the overall contexts are more urban. The requested zone district requires only .75 parking spaces per living unit whether one, two, or three bedroom condo or apartment. Even Cherry Creek's New Zone District (based on Urban Center Mixed Use as well) provides one space per unit.
	It is flawed planning to approve a zone district that doesn't really fit the broader contexts and rely on privately enforced Design Guidelines to tailor the zone district.
	Even 1.5 spaces per living unit (for apartments) will not be likely to provide adequate parking and the Design Review Committee grants variances and can change guidelines as well.
DENSITY	
Staff Report: p. 16: The base C-MX-5 zone district provides a land use and building form that promotes	While it is always dangerous to throw around density numbers, it is likely the density at this site, specifically in the southern portion below I own, always have been sited to be approximately to
higher density similar to the density of existing Lowry development.	units/acre. Most of Lowry has far lower density, with the areas closest to this 18 acres having much lower densities.
Density is 11.4 units per acre and is in character with the surrounding neighborhoods.	Density # cited in Application is for entire 70 acre parcel and not accurate for this site. Density in the R-1 and R-2-A areas immediately adjacent to this parcel remains unexamined
	by staff or applicant. Applicant uses area-wide density comparisons for this portion of its analysis, thus ignoring single family townhomes and homes currently south, east and north of site, as well as those low-density areas on Boulevard One that were just zoned for single
NECESSITY OF THIS ZONE CHOICE	
"The C-MX-5 with waivers rezoning of Parcel 5 is critical for enabling the mixed-use vision of Boulevard	Executive Director Force has said there will only be three or four buildings that will have a height of five stories. (May 7, 2013 CAC meeting.) This zone district over the entire 18 acres
One."	(plus the 8 or so acres along Monaco) is not required to implement the Boulevard One vision.
	There are other tools that could be used to zone for the neighborhood scale retail/pedestrian

onto the park and plaza. multi-family and single-family residences that look plaza; new opportunities for parkside retail; and states: "Intent: The Community Park Mixed-Use Staff Report p. 14: This GDP subarea description that is defined by a significant community park and Center serves as a community-wide gathering place park and plaza; new opportunities for park-side retail; and multifamily and single-family residences that look onto the park and plaza. Center serves as a community-wide gathering place that is defined by a significant community

triendly community planned for Boulevard One

district or any unit count. LRA needs only to break even on this project One. There has never been any financial analysis demonstrating the necessity of this zone The 2008 Buckley Annex Redevelopment Plan provides: The Community Park Mixed-Use LRA has never asserted this zone district is necessary for the financial success of Boulevard

5 stories with maximum height of 3 stories and 2.5 stories along 1st Avenue and a maximum height of 3 stories along Quebec Street between Archer place and Lowry The descriptions of the subareas are nearly identical, but the GDP specifies height maximum

the General Development Plan. To approve this zone district over 18 acres would require same as Council to make the determination that broad language regarding maximum heights is the throughout the 18 acres is appropriate. There is no such mandate in either the 2008 Plan or Boulevard. From this additional sentence, LRA makes the leap that zoning for 5 stories

Denver's website also describes the Urban Context as

near and around downtown. (emphasis added) same block. Urban Centers are found along major corridors, at transit station areas, and both multi-family residential and commercial uses, often within the same building or on the The Urban Center Neighborhood Context consists primarily of mixed-use areas, containing

neighborhood context is generally characterized by

the Urban Center Neighborhood Context. This

requested C-MX-5 zone district with waivers is within

Staff Report p. 16: Neighborhood Context: The

mixed use arterial and local streets.

residential uses located along residential collector, and commercial centers (DZC, Division 7.1). Multi-unit multiunit residential and mixed-use commercial strips

http://www.denvergov.org/Zoning/NeighborhoodContext/UrbanCenterNeighborhoodContext/tabid/438042/Default.aspx

Looking at Denver's interactive maps, it is clear most C-MX zoning is on either side of but it is not best suited for this site. of downtown, then pockets in Cherry Creek and occasionally other locations, downtown, in the Central Platte Valley, east of Lincoln in Capitol Hill, north

These two narrow waivers apply to only 4.5% of the entire 18 acre parcel and do not
accomplish the stated goal of bringing 18 acres zoned C-MX-5 into conformity with two story
development south, east, north and west of this large parcel.