BILL/ RESOLUTION REQUEST

- **1. Title:** Amends the contract with Kaplan Kirsch & Rockwell, LLP (CE60191) to expand the scope of services, extend the contract through 12/31/15, and increase the cap amount by \$475,000 for a total of \$950,000 for airport law services.
- 2. Requesting Agency: City Attorney
- 3. Contact Person with actual knowledge of proposed ordinance Name:Teresa Tafoya Phone:720-865-8775 Email:teresa.tafoya@denvergov.org
- 4. Contact Person with actual knowledge of proposed ordinance who will present the item at Mayor Council and who will be available for first and second reading, if necessary

Name:Xavier DuRán Phone:303-342-2540 Email:xavier.duran@flydenver.com

5. Describe the proposed ordinance, including what the proposed ordinance is intended to accomplish, who's involved

a. Scope of Work

This eighth amendment will increase the cap amount of the contract by \$475,000, taking it from \$475,000 in the original contract to \$950,000. The contract provides airport law services to the City and County of Denver. The matters handled by this law firm are primarily rates and charges, design and construction of facilities, gate usage, use and lease agreements, airline competition, air carrier service to Denver, and potential and active litigation regarding DIA. Additional services to be provided through this amendment are: NEPA-related matters, issues relating to rail or roadway links to DIA, and commercial development and land use issues related to Airport City and neighboring jurisdictions.

b. Duration

5/1/2006 - 12/31/2015

c. Location

N/A

d. Affected Council District

N/A

e. Benefits

The City obtains high quality outside legal representation by the premier local law firm specializing in aviation law at an extremely favorable rate. All other law firms providing the same level and type of aviation law representation are located outside Denver, as well as the State of Colorado

f. Costs

By the end of 2012, the current contract will have expended \$475,000 over a 6.5 year period. It is anticipated that the large projects on board or coming on board and issues related to overall airport operations will expend up to an additional

6. Is there any controversy surrounding this ordinance, groups or individuals who may have concerns about it? Please explain. $_{\rm No.}$

Bill Request Number: BR12-0753

Date: 10/2/2012