## **ORDINANCE/RESOLUTION REQUEST**

Please email requests to the Mayor's Legislative Team

at MileHighOrdinance@DenverGov.org by 3:00pm on Monday.

## \*All fields must be completed.\*

Incomplete request forms will be returned to sender which may cause a delay in processing.

				Date of Request: November 21, 2011	
Please mark one:		X Bill Request	or	Resolution Request	
1.	Has your agency submitted this request in the last 12 months?				
	<b>Yes</b>	X No			
	If yes, please explain:				
2.	<b>Title:</b> (Include a concise, one sentence description – please include <u>name of company or contractor</u> and <u>contract control number</u> - that clearly indicates the type of request: grant acceptance, contract execution, amendment, municipal code change, supplemental request, etc.)				
	Official Zone M	Official Zone Map Amendment request to rezone property located at 4239 Tejon Street from U-MS-2 to U-TU-C.			
3.	Requesting Agency: Community Planning and Development				
4.	Contact Person: (With actual knowledge of proposed ordinance/resolution.) <ul> <li>Name: Theresa Lucero</li> <li>Phone: 720-865-2933</li> <li>Email: theresa.lucero@denvergov.org</li> </ul>				
5.	<ul> <li>Contact Person: (With actual knowledge of proposed ordinance/resolution who will present the item at Mayor-Council and who will be available for first and second reading, if necessary.)</li> <li>Name: Theresa Lucero</li> <li>Phone: 720-865-2933</li> <li>Email: theresa.lucero@denvergov.org</li> </ul>				

6. General description of proposed ordinance including contract scope of work if applicable: \*\*Please complete the following fields: (Incomplete fields may result in a delay in processing. If a field is not applicable, please enter N/A for that field.)

Official Zone Map Amendment request to rezone property located at 4239 Tejon Street from U-MS-2 to U-TU-C.

- a. Contract Control Number: NA
- b. Duration: NA
- c. Location: 4239 Tejon Street
- d. Affected Council District: Council District #9
- e. Benefits: NA
- f. Costs: NA
- 7. Is there any controversy surrounding this ordinance? (Groups or individuals who may have concerns about it?) Please explain.

The Planning Board recommended denial of the application by a vote of 6-2. The applicant has gathered 32 signatures of support from nearby neighbors. The neighbor directly adjacent on the south of the subject property testified against the proposal at the Planning Board hearing.