## ORDINANCE/RESOLUTION REQUEST

Please email requests to the Mayor's Legislative Team

at MileHighOrdinance@DenverGov.org by 3:00pm on Monday. Contact the Mayor's Legislative team with questions

Please mark one: Bill Request or 🖂	Date of Request: 1/28/2021 Resolution Request
1. Type of Request	
_	amont (ICA) December of Foot Amond december
☐ Contract/Grant Agreement ☐ Intergovernmental Agre	ement (IGA) Rezoning/Text Amendment
☐ Dedication/Vacation ☐ Appropriation/Suppleme	ental DRMC Change
Other:	
2. Title: (Start with approves, amends, dedicates, etc., include na acceptance, contract execution, contract amendment, municiped Resolution request per City Council 3.26(e) to purchase an addition on PO-00108141, each at \$361,808.00, for a total of \$1,809,000. BMO reference #20-862, 863, 864, 865, 866	onal 5 Compost Side Loaders from Hardline
3. Requesting Agency: Solid Waste Composting	
4. Contact Person:  Contact person with knowledge of proposed	Contact person to present item at Mayor-Council and
ordinance/resolution	Council
Name: Donnie Cruz  Email: Donnie.cruz@denvergov.org	Name: Jason Gallardo Email: Jason.Gallardo@denvergov.org
<ul> <li>5. General description or background of proposed request. A AMrep Large Automated Side Loaders with 2021 Dennis Eag</li> <li>6. City Attorney assigned to this request (if applicable):</li> </ul>	
7. City Council District:	
8. **For all contracts, fill out and submit accompanying Key	Contract Terms worksheet**
To be completed by M	ayor's Legislative Team:
Resolution/Bill Number: RR22 0006	Date Entered:

Revised 03/02/18

## **Key Contract Terms**

<b>Type of Contra</b> Contract > \$500	act: (e.g. Professional Services > \$5 )k	00K; IGA/Grant Agreement, Sal	le or Lease of Real Property):	
Vendor/Contra	actor Name: Hardline			
Contract contr	rol number: PURCHASE # F	PO-00108141		
Location: DOTI- Denver Police Department				
Is this a new co	ontract? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No 🏻 Is this	an Amendment?   Yes   N	To If yes, how many?	
	n/Duration (for amended contracts are properly received, documented, p			
Contract Amou	unt (indicate existing amount, ame	nded amount and new contract t	otal):	
	Current Contract Amount	Additional Funds	Total Contract Amount	
Г	(A)	(B)	(A+B) \$1,809,040	
L	\$1,809,040	\$0.00	\$1,809,040	
	Current Contract Term	Added Time	New Ending Date	
Γ	Undetermined			
Was this contractor selected by competitive process? Yes  If not, why not?  Has this contractor provided these services to the City before? ☑ Yes ☐ No				
Source of funds: Composting Funds 11862				
Is this contract subject to: ☐ W/MBE ☐ DBE ☐ SBE ☐ XO101 ☐ ACDBE ☒ N/A				
WBE/MBE/DBE commitments (construction, design, Airport concession contracts):				
Who are the subcontractors to this contract?				
5. General description or background of proposed request. Attach executive summary if more space needed:				
	To be co	ompleted by Mayor's Legislative Te	eam:	
Resolution/Bill	Number: RR22 0006	Date 1	Entered:	

Eighteen new units will be purchased using the Planned Fleet Replacement Fund 11804. The units will be used by Denver Police Department.

~Are these replacement vehicles or adding to the fleet? If adding why?

These are Planned Fleet Replacement, not expansions.

~Please include info on use of SUVs vs. sedans if relevant.

There are currently no sedans offered by the "Big Three" (Ford, GM, Chrysler) in the police market that offer AWD, and PHEV or EV qualifications. With all of the equipment needed to be a modern police officer, sedans are rarely fitting the bill anyway. The amount of space required to store and mobilize all the gear needed for an average shift is not currently met in ANY average sized sedan. Ford has also discontinued their police sedans. Chevrolet only offers a Malibu in their current lineup, which is not pursuit rated, nor does it have any of the safety features required to be a patrol car. Dodge's offering is the Charger Pursuit, which did not pass safety testing, has a horrible service record and is also only offered in fossil fueled engines. (3.6L Pentastar or 5.7L Hemi). Neither of which are even remotely fuel economic compared to their competition. It is also rear wheel drive, which is not feasible in Colorado.

The performance, lifetime costs to operate and return on investments are all checked off in the Chevrolet Tahoe, with reduced cost to repair, less downtime, better gas mileage, 4WD/2WD options and still offering a pursuit rated option in an SUV, we have chosen to continue ordering the Chevrolet Tahoe, until newer technology is available in the market.

Also, Ford's window for ordering is short for their pursuit rated Explorer (Hybrid) (which does not meet the Mayor's green fleet mandate) and there are times with the way budget and internal timelines mesh, we miss the window to order Ford's Police Rated SUV. It should also be stated that the Ford Hybrid has a higher cost up front and because it is a hybrid and not a PHEV/EV the return on investment or recouping that extra cost is not realized in a vehicle that is typically driven 24/7/365. All three manufacturers have mentioned 2023 for a more robust PHEV/EV offering in the police market, but at this time we are very limited on options. There is no talk from any of the car manufacturers to bring back a more robust sedan to the police market.

~I suspect someone will ask about the EV policy so it should include some info on that (police vehicles currently don't fall under that policy because of the current technology limitations)

See above. This should answer the PHEV/EV questions as well.

To be completed by Mayor's Legislative Team:	
Resolution/Bill Number: RR22 0006	Date Entered: