Proposed Prevailing Wage Ordinance Change

COUNTYOR

AUDITOR

CITY AND

enve

History of Prevailing Wage in Denver

- 1891 Kansas passes first US prevailing wage law
- 1931 Federal government enacts Davis-Bacon Act
- 1950 Denver adopts its own prevailing wage ordinance

Historic Goal of Prevailing Wage Legislation -US Department Labor sought to prevent the government as a large and powerful employer from having a negative influence on regional wages



Risks Created by Outdated Ordinance

- Disputes within the City concerning prevailing wage
- Employees paid incorrectly
- Expensive litigation
- Fewer contractors willing to work for the City
- Violators continuing to work for the City
- Contractors encouraged to find loop holes
- Difficult prevailing wage enforcement



Auditor's Prevailing Wage Work Group

Auditor O'Brien convened a work group of industry experts:

Rick Allen, Rocky Mtn. District Council 5 Howard Arnold, Pipefitters Local 208

Craig Clark, NECA and Dynalectric

Dave Davia, CAMPC

Deanne Durfee, Assistant City Attorney

Rusty Gonzales, Hispanic Contractors

Dale Heter, Mortenson

Robert Kibler, ISS

Antonio Ledezma, Jalisco Jim Mantele, IBEW Local 68 John Marlow, U.S. Engineering Karen Niparko, Career Service Authority Ron Ruggiero, SEIU Local 105 Taryn Edwards, Saunders RD Sewald, Sewald-Hanfling Kurt Steenhoek, Plumbers Local 3 Dwayne Stephens, Sheet Metal Workers Local 9



Create Clear and Consistent Rules

Problem - Current Ordinance includes Outdated and Ambiguous Terms

- Necessary Editing -Remove outdated references to no longer existing City agencies, ordinances, and practices
- Clarify the Scope of Prevailing Wage -Prevailing wage applies to work performed on City land <u>or</u> paid for by City funds, consistent with Davis-Bacon Act
- Align Prevailing Wage Enforcement with the City's Contracting Process



Discourage Prevailing Wage Violations

Problem - Current Ordinance Lacks Meaningful Deterrents

- Create and Use Modern Fine System-Replace existing \$20 fine with \$50 a week fine per violation where remediation is not made in 30 days, consistent with Davis-Bacon Act
- Create Escalating and Flat Fines for Repeat and Willful Violators-Stiffer fines for repeat and flagrant violators
- Extend Underpayment Fines to False and Failure to Report Violations



Repair Debarment Process

Problem - Structure of City's Debarment Panel Prevents Debarment

Create an Odd Numbered Panel -

Increase debarment panel from existing 4 members to 5 members by adding the Director of the Division of Small Business Opportunities

Address Panel Conflicts -

Ensure all panel members, including the Auditor are permitted to participate



Create Due Process

Problem - Current Ordinance does not Provide a Means of Challenging a Prevailing Wage Determination

Employee a Hearing Process-Extend the City's use of a hearings officer as a means of bidding resolution to any prevailing wage dispute that adversely effects a party (contractor, employer, employee, or the City)



Reinforce Independent Enforcement

Problem - Historic "Past Practices" have Muddled the Roles of the Auditor's Office and the Career Service Authority in Prevailing Wage Enforcement

Reestablish Individual Agency Roles -

- Career Service Authority will create all wage classifications
- The Auditor's Office will enforce the applicable prevailing wages
- The agencies will cooperate where they can share expertise



Goals of Updating the Ordinance

- Make a modern ordinance that works for everyone
- Encourage contracting with and working for the City
- Protect City contractors and developers
- Create a positive work environment for contract employees
- Eliminate litigation arising from prevailing wage
- Defend the City from bad actors
- Increase the City's vendor pool



Questions?

Denver Auditor's Office www.denvergov.org/auditor auditor@denvergov.org 720-913-5000



Thank You!