From: <u>Tracey MacDermott</u>

To: Lopez, Paul D. - City Council Dist #3; Kniech, Robin L. - City Council; Ortega, Deborah L. - City Council; Herndon,

Christopher J. - City Council District 8; Brooks, Albus - City Council District 9; Susman, Mary Beth - City Council; Gilmore, Stacie M. - City Council; Espinoza, Rafael G. - City Council; Clark, Jolon M. - City Council; Black, Kendra A. - City Council; Flynn, Kevin J. - City Council; Kashmann, Paul J. - City Council; New, Wayne C. - City Council

Cc: dencc - City Council; Schoultz, Amanda M - City Council Operations; Sapp, Michael D. - Operations &

Communications

Date: Tuesday, May 31, 2016 1:07:15 PM

Stormwater Fees

Dear City council,

Subject:

I am requesting that Stormwater fees are not raised at this time. The current proposal is rushed and seems to be in need of additional information. I do believe that the city needs improvement in its infrastructure and that eventually an increase will be required. However, a comprehensive stormwater plan should and must be presented. This has not happened. Instead residents are being forced into a rate hike without any proposal to help areas such as Park Hill deal with the flooding that has occurred.

Please go back to the drawing board and require that the City propose a comprehensive plan that includes genuine citizen input and engagement.

Tracey MacDermott Park Hill From: <u>Dave Felice</u>

To: Lopez, Paul D. - City Council Dist #3; Kniech, Robin L. - City Council; Ortega, Deborah L. - City Council; Herndon,

Christopher J. - City Council District 8; Brooks, Albus - City Council District 9; Susman, Mary Beth - City Council; Gilmore, Stacie M. - City Council; Espinoza, Rafael G. - City Council; Clark, Jolon M. - City Council; Black, Kendra A. - City Council; Flynn, Kevin J. - City Council; Kashmann, Paul J. - City Council; New, Wayne C. - City Council

Cc: dencc - City Council; Schoultz, Amanda M - City Council Operations; Sapp, Michael D. - Operations &

Communications

Subject: Sewer/Stormwater Fees

Date: Tuesday, May 31, 2016 10:50:12 AM

Members of City Council:

Do not increase the sewer and stormwater fees <u>at this time</u>. Denver is not Flint or Fort McMurray. There is no life threatening crisis. The current proposal is a rush to judgment, loaded with a lack of information, misinformation, deception, and mendacity.

This is the kind of behavior that leads ordinary people to mistrust Denver government. Council should be doing everything possible to restore the public trust which has been cruelly and nearly destroyed by the current administration.

Michael B. Hancock may be correct in commenting that his staff s operating from foxholes. What he fails to realize is that he, himself, has created the embattled condition by antagonizing and alienating the public.

The city needs improvements in infrastructure, and a means of financing is probably warranted. However, there is also a desperate need for an honest and comprehensive examination of the matter.

Have the courage to do this right, or at least better. Avoid a knee-jerk reaction to an ill-conceived scheme to a drastic increase that doesn't even solve part of the problem. Vote no at present to give yourselves and the residents of Denver more time to carefully consider this entire matter.

Thank you for your attention.

David P. Felice District 8

This message is a public document, subject to all provisions of the Colorado Open Records Act.

From: <u>Jude</u>

To: New, Wayne C. - City Council; Deborah Ortega - Councilwoman At Large; kniechatlarge; Kashmann, Paul J. - City

Council; Lopez, Paul D. - City Council Dist #3; Susman, Mary Beth - City Council; Brooks, Albus - City Council District 9; Herndon, Christopher J. - City Council District 8; Black, Kendra A. - City Council; Clark, Jolon M. - City Council; Gilmore, Stacie M. - City Council; Flynn, Kevin J. - City Council; Rafael Espinoza; dencc - City Council

Subject: amend wastewater fee bill

Date: Tuesday, May 31, 2016 4:27:31 PM

Dear Council members, the waster water fee increase being presented at first reading at Council tonight is much too important and has too many unanswered questions to move forward in it's present form. Just the fact of the lack of details on where the money goes in the Platte to Park Hill part of the plan, now estimated at \$206 (and a plan that was NOT included in the 2014 waste water master plan) is enough to slow down and decide if this entire plan should be approved, or if only parts should move ahead.

So here would be my two options for amending the bill, something that any of you could propose tonight:

1) Put a hold on the bill until an INDEPENDENT assessment could be done of waste water and drainage needs in the city, as opposed to those that are to benefit the proposed I-70 ditch.

Currently the City's waste water dept, that has to answer to Mayor "Corridor of Opportunity" Hancock and CDOT, who has to answer to Governor "My Way is Only the Highway" and P3 keynote speaker Hickenlooper are the only agencies making the evaluation of these needs. Doesn't it make sense for a proposed increase of this magnitude to have an outside objective opinion??

2) At the least, amend the bill to take out the \$206 million Platte to Park Hill drainage project and let the rest of the increase go thru.

Thank you for your time and consideration. Jude Aiello 909 Lafayette St, Denver, Co 80218