or

Please mark one:

Bill Request

Resolution Request

1. Has your agency submitted this request in the last 12 months?

🗌 Yes 🛛 🖾 No

## If yes, please explain:

### 2. Title:

Amends a contract with by the State of Colorado; Department of Local Affairs through contract control number SOCSV-2015-22154-02 to accept the Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) award in the amount of \$1,009,385.00 for fiscal year 2016-2017, for a total of \$2,031,254, to deliver employment services, financial counseling, and emergency housing to low income families and individuals.

State of Colorado; Department of Local Affairs 1313 Sherman Street Room 521 Denver, CO 80203

## 3. Requesting Agency:

Denver Department of Human Services 1200 Federal Boulevard Denver, CO 80204

## 4. Contact Person:

- Name: Ron Mitchell
- Phone: 720-944-2903
- Email: Ron.Mitchell@denvergov.org

# 5. Contact Person:

- Name: Ron Mitchell
- **Phone:** 720-944-2903
- Email: Ron.Mitchell@denvergov.org

# 6. General description of proposed ordinance including contract scope of work if applicable:

This resolution will authorize the acceptance of the 2016-2017 funding from the Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) authorized by the State of Colorado; Department of Local Affairs. The Community Services Block Grant, a formula based grant, has provided a stable source of funds to help meet essential services to facilitate the reduction of poverty. The grant program operates on a fiscal year beginning March 1st. For the FY 2016-2017, Denver Human Services has been awarded \$1,009,385.00 of new monies. The revenue contract number is SOCSV-2015-22154-02.

- a. Contract Control Number: SOCSV-2015-22154-02
- **b.** Duration: March 1, 2016 September 30, 2017
- c. Location: Denver Human Services
- d. Affected Council District: All Districts.

e. Benefits: The Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) helps meet essential services to facilitate the reduction of poverty and will deliver services and/or provide assistance to low income families and individuals.
f. Costs: None

### 7. Is there any controversy surrounding this ordinance? Please explain. No