ORDINANCE/RESOLUTION REQUEST

Please email requests to the Mayor's Legislative Team

at MileHighOrdinance@DenverGov.org by 3:00pm on Monday. For any questions please contact Skye Stuart.

All fields must be completed.

Incomplete request forms will be returned to sender which may cause a delay in processing.

Date of Request: 04/03/19

Please mark one: X Bill Request or Resolution Request

1. Has your agency submitted this request in the last 12 months?

Yes X No

If yes, please explain:

2. Title: (Include a concise, one sentence <u>description</u> – please include <u>name of company or contractor</u> and <u>contract control number</u> - that clearly indicates the type of request: grant acceptance, contract execution, contract amendment, municipal code change, supplemental request, etc.)

Official Map Amendment request to rezone property located at 4891 Lincoln Street from E-SU-D to U-SU-C1.

3. Requesting Agency: Community Planning and Development

- 4. Contact Person: (With actual knowledge of proposed ordinance/resolution.)
 - Name: Theresa Lucero
 - Phone: 720-865-2933
 - Email: Theresa.Lucero@denvergov.org
- 5. Contact Person: (With actual knowledge of proposed ordinance/resolution who will present the item at Mayor-Council and who will be available for first and second reading, if necessary.)
 - Name: Theresa Lucero
 - **Phone:** 720-865-2933
 - Email: Theresa.Lucero@denvergov.org

6. General description/background of proposed ordinance including contract scope of work if applicable:

Proposed rezoning of property located at 4891 Lincoln Street from E-SU-D to U-SU-C1.

****Please complete the following fields:** (Incomplete fields may result in a delay in processing. If a field is not applicable, please enter N/A for that field – please do not leave blank.)

- a. Contract Control Number: N/A
- b. Contract Term: N/A
- c. Location: 4891 Lincoln Street
- d. Affected Council District: Council District #9
- e. Benefits: N/A
- f. Contract Amount (indicate amended amount and new contract total): N/A
- 7. Is there any controversy surrounding this ordinance? (Groups or individuals who may have concerns about it?) Please explain.

To date, there are no one comment letters received. The Planning Board recommended approval by a unanimous vote (8-0).